



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,303	10/31/2000	Weiya Luo	00-8008	1277
32127	990 01/30/2006		EXAMINER	
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE MAILCODE HQEO3H14			KANG, PAUL H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/702,303	LUO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul H. Kang	2141	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I seply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMM CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in the	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal		ne merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	ithdrawn from consideration . and/or election requiremen		
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objecte to the drawing(s) be held in ab correction is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received uments have been received ne priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No Deen received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment	· (s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-station Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	948) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) or No(s)/Mail Date te of Informal Patent Application (PT	rO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicants' arguments filed October 27, 2005 is persuasive. A detailed action under a new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16, 19-21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugauchi et al., US Patent No. 6,339,789 B1, in view of Roytman et al., US Patent Application No. 2002/0012011 A1.

3. As to claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 14, 20 and 24, Sugauchi teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Sugauchi teaches a method for managing a network, comprising:

providing a first list of events occurring in the network to a graphical user interface, providing a filter to filter the list of events for specific events among an active list of events (See Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65); and

managing the network using the list (See Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).

However, Sugauchi does not explicitly teach simultaneously providing a second list of events occurring in the network, the second list comprising a predetermined number of most

Art Unit: 2141

recent events to a graphical user interface. In the same field of endeavor, Roytman teaches a system and method managing a network comprising sending to a graphical user interface a list of events, including a list of most recent events (see Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0049).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the list of most recent events as taught by Roytman into the network management system of Sugauchi for the purpose of allowing an administrator more flexibility in viewing large lists of network events.

- 4. As per claims 2 and 13, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches setting a number of events to be provided in the second list (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0047 and 0051-0057).
- 5. As per claim 3, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches selecting an event in the second list, and automatically selecting, in response to selecting an event in the second list, an equivalent event in the first list (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0049).
- 6. As per claim 4, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches acknowledging the equivalent event in the first list (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0049).
- 7. As per claim 5, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches wherein the first and second lists include events relating to at least one network element in the network (See Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

8. As per claim 8, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches a device for managing a network having a plurality of network elements, comprising: a memory configured to store instructions; and a processor configured to execute the instructions to provide a list of identifiers associated with the plurality of network elements, each network element identifier being associated with a state indication (See Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).

- 9. As per claim 9, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches a method for managing a network having a plurality of network elements, comprising: receiving a request for network information; providing a list of network element: identifiers associated with the plurality of network elements, the list indicating a state of each of the plurality of network elements; and managing the network using the list (See Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).
- 10. As per claim 10, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches the system and method wherein the number of most recent events provided in the second list is set by a user (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0049).
- 11. As per claim 11, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches the claimed invention as described above. wherein the user device is further configured to: select an event in the second list, and automatically select, in response to selecting an event in the second list, an equivalent event in the first list (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0047).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,303

Art Unit: 2141

12. As per claim 15, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches the claimed invention wherein for each network element identifier, a total number of alarms associated with each of the plurality of network elements (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0047 and Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).

Page 5

- As per claim 16, Sugauchi-Roytman teach a system and method wherein the processor is further configured to: provide, for each network element identifier, a value indicating a quantity of major alarms associated with a respective network element, and provide, for each network element identifier, a second value indicating a quantity of minor alarms associated with a respective network element (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0047 and Sugauchi, col. 22, lines 7-65).
- As per claims 19 and 21, Sugauchi-Roytman teach a system and method comprising providing for each network element identifier, a value representing a number of escalated alarms associated with a respective network element (Roytman, ¶¶ 0042-0047 and Sugauchi, col. 9, lines 19-55).
- 15. Claims 17-18 and 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugauchi-Roytman in view of Branton, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,301,336.
- 16. As per claim 17, 22 and 25, Sugauchi-Roytman teach the claimed invention as described above. However, Sugauchi-Roytman fails to teach the invention as claimed further comprising a

Page 6

system and method to provide, for each network element identifier, a value representing a number of users monitoring a respective network element.

In the same field of endeavor, Branton teaches a method and apparatus for testing components in a communications system. Furthermore, Branton, teaches a system and method to provide, for each network element identifier, a value representing a number of users monitoring a respective network element (See Branton, col. 3, lines 62-65).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wherein to provide, for each network element identifier, a value representing a number of users monitoring a respective network element as taught by Branton, Jr. et al in the claimed invention of Sugauchi-Roytman order to allow users to monitor testing of network elements from various locations. (See Branton, col. 3 line 67 and col. 4, line 1).

17. As per claim 18, Sugauchi-Roytman teaches the claimed invention as described above. However, Sugauchi-Roytman fail to teach a system and method further comprising the processor configured to provide, in response to selecting the value representing the number of users monitoring a network element, contact information for each user.

Branton, Jr. et al teaches wherein the processor is further configured to: provide, in response to selecting the value representing the number of users monitoring a network element, contact information for each user (See Branton, col. 11, lines 20-25)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the processor further configured to provide, in response to selecting the value representing the number of users monitoring a network element, contact information for

each user, as taught by Branton, Jr., in order to receive messages indicating that an error has

Page 7

occurred for a particular network element (See Branton, col. 11, lines 20-21).

18. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugauchi-

Roytman-Branton futher in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,343,290 to Cossins et al..

19. As per claim 23, Sugauchi-Roytman-Branton teach the claimed invention as described

above. However, Sugauchi-Roytman-Branton fails to teach wherein the list further includes at

least one of a total number- of alarms associated with each of the plurality of network elements, a

total number of trouble tickets associated with each of the plurality of network elements, a total

number of held alarms associated with each of the plurality of network elements, and a network

element type indication for each of the plurality of network elements.

In the same field of endeavor, Cossins et al teaches wherein the list further includes at

least one of a total number of alarms associated with each of the plurality of network elements

(See Cossins, col. 11, lines 43-46), a total number of trouble tickets associated with each of the

plurality of network elements (See Cossins, col. 3, lines 20-24), a total number of held alarms

associated with each of the plurality of network elements (See Cossins, col. 12, lines 31-36), and

a network element type indication for each of the plurality of network elements (See Cossins,

col. 9, lines 36-41).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to incorporate wherein the list further includes at least one of a total number- of alarms

associated with each of the plurality of network elements, a total number of trouble tickets

Art Unit: 2141

associated with each of the plurality of network elements, a total number of held alarms associated with each of the plurality of network elements, and a network element type indication for each of the plurality of network elements as taught by Cossins et al into the claimed invention of Sugauchi-Roytman-Branton order to view, monitor, configure and manage a telecommunication network (See Cossins, col. 3, lines 17-19).

### Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant argued that Jakobson is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103. The rejection relying on Jakobson has been withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,303

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul H Kang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2141 Page 9